

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
Caption in compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Laura Davis Jones
Colin R. Robinson
Peter J. Keane
919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 652-4100
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400
Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com
crobinson@pszjlaw.com
pkeane@pszjlaw.com

Counsel to Arnold & Itkin LLP

In re:

LTL MANAGEMENT LLC,

Debtor.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 23-12825 (MBK)

Hearing Date and Time:
June 27, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. ET

**MOTION TO SEAL THE REDACTED PORTIONS
OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF ARNOLD & ITKIN, ON
BEHALF OF CERTAIN TALC CLAIMANTS, TO DISMISS CHAPTER 11 CASE**

¹ The last four digits of the Debtor's taxpayer identification number are 6622. The Debtor's address is 501 George Street, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08933.

Arnold & Itkin LLP (“Arnold & Itkin”), on behalf of certain talc personal injury claimants represented by Arnold & Itkin, hereby submits this motion (the “Motion to Seal”) pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(b) of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532 (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 9018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and D.N.J. LBR 9018-1 (the “Local Rules”), for an order authorizing Arnold & Itkin to file under seal the redacted portions of the reply in support of Arnold & Itkin’s motion to dismiss the Debtor’s chapter 11 case (the “Reply”). In support of this Motion to Seal, Arnold & Itkin respectfully states as follows:

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Standing Order of the United States District Court dated July 10, 1984, as amended September 18, 2012. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

2. The bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a) and 107 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9018, and D.N.J. LBR 9018-1.

Relief Requested

3. By this Motion to Seal, Arnold & Itkin seeks entry of an order substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9018, and D.N.J. LBR 9018-1, (i) authorizing Arnold & Itkin to file the redacted portions of the Reply under seal with certain information redacted from the public docket, and (ii) granting such other and further relief as requested herein or as the Court otherwise deems necessary or appropriate.

Basis for Relief

4. Section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, as follows:

On request of a party in interest, the bankruptcy court shall . . . (1) protect an entity with respect to a trade secret or confidential research, development, or commercial information

11 U.S.C. § 107(b)(1).

5. Bankruptcy Rule 9018 defines the procedures by which a party may move for relief under section 107(b), providing that:

[o]n motion or on its own initiative, with or without notice, the court may make any order which justice requires (1) to protect the estate or any entity in respect of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9018.

6. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code codifies the Court’s inherent equitable powers and empowers it to “issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title.” 11 U.S.C. § 105(a).

7. Unlike its counterpart in Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code does not require an entity seeking such protection to demonstrate “good cause.” *See, e.g., Video Software Dealers Ass’n v. Orion Pictures Corp. (In re Orion Pictures Corp.)*, 21 F.3d 24, 28 (2d Cir. 1994) (holding that a license agreement authorizing a licensee “to reproduce, manufacture, distribute, and sell videocassettes” of three films contained confidential commercial information). Rather, if the material sought to be protected satisfies one of the categories identified in section 107(b), “the court is required to protect a requesting party and has no discretion to deny the application.” *Orion Pictures*, 21 F.3d at 27. Moreover, the resulting order should be broad (*i.e.*, “any order which justice requires”). *In re Global Crossing, Ltd.*, 295 B.R. 720, 724 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003); Fed. R.

Bankr. P. 9018. Courts are required to provide such protections “generally where open inspection may be used as a vehicle for improper purposes.” *Orion Pictures*, 21 F.3d at 27. Indeed, the “authority goes not just to the protection of confidential documents, but to other confidentiality restrictions that are warranted in the interests of justice.” *Global Crossing*, 295 B.R. at 724.

8. Courts have also found that such relief should be granted if the information sought to be protected is “commercial information,” which is defined as “information which would result in ‘an unfair advantage to competitors by providing them information as to the commercial operations of the debtor.’” *In re Alterra Healthcare Corp.*, 353 B.R. 66, 75 (Bankr. D. Del. 2006). However, commercial information does not have to rise to the level of a trade secret to be protected under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See Orion Pictures*, 21 F.3d at 28 (finding that section 107(b)(1) creates an exception to the general rule that court records are open to examination by the public and, under this exception, an interested party has to show only that the information it wishes to seal is “confidential and commercial” in nature).

9. Here, the information sought to be filed under seal includes information or exhibits produced by the Debtor that were designated as “confidential” and constitutes “Confidential Information” as that term is defined in that certain *Order Governing Confidential Information By and Between the Official Committee of Talc Claimants and the Debtor Pursuant to D.N.J. LBR 9021-1(b)* [Docket No. 545] (the “Protective Order”). Accordingly, in addition to the authority cited above, the Protective Order requires a motion to seal, and redaction or sealing of information designated as “Confidential Information.” The Protective Order includes provisions enabling a party to challenge the designation of information or documents as

confidential or highly confidential, and Arnold & Itkin reserves all rights to challenge any such designations, including, without limitation, as to information or exhibits included in the Reply.

10. In addition, Arnold & Itkin will confer with the parties that designated the information under the Protective Order to determine which redacted portions of the Reply must remain redacted and which portions may be unredacted.

11. Accordingly, Arnold & Itkin requests, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9018, and D.N.J. LBR 9018-1, that the Court permit the redaction of the Confidential Information in the Reply.

Notice

12. Notice of this Motion shall be given by email to the following parties or, in lieu thereof, to their counsel, if known: (a) Debtor's counsel, (b) counsel to the Official Committee of Talc Claimants, and (c) the Office of the United States Trustee. Arnold & Itkin respectfully submits that no further notice is required.

Dated: June 22, 2023

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

/s/ Colin R. Robinson

Laura Davis Jones

Colin R. Robinson

Peter J. Keane

919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: (302) 652-4100

Facsimile: (302) 652-4400

Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com

crobinson@pszjlaw.com

pkeane@pszjlaw.com

Counsel to Arnold & Itkin LLP